1. Presentation of Undergraduate Application Fees
   • Stephen Farmer, Vice Provost of Enrollment & Undergraduate Admission spoke to the SFAS regarding a justification to their request for increase to the Undergraduate Application Fee.
   • The Undergraduate Admissions Office has not requested an increase in the fee in since the fee cycle of AY2011-12. They do not believe it is practicable to incorporate inflationary increases on a year-to-year basis, since doing so would lead to irregular annual increases that would likely be confusing to students, school counselors and others. The better approach, we believe, is to request somewhat larger increases roughly every five years. However, had the fee increased annually by the Higher Education Price Index (HEPI) the fee would now be over the $85.00 requested.
   • This increase will allow/provide the ability to waive the application fee for previously enrolled UNC-Chapel Hill undergraduate students who apply for readmission. Students are required to pay the application fee upon first admission, and are presently required to pay the fee when they reapply.
   • This increase will also restore purchasing power that has been lost over time. The admissions office has grown more efficient and has managed to handle a dramatically larger volume of applications with little to no change in full-time staffing.
   • They continue to waive the application fee for eligible students upon the request of their counselors or advisors. For 2016, the admissions office waived the fee for 5,000 students, or 13 percent of all who applied.
   • Ben questioned why their Current Service budget had increase more than the $500,000 in 2016-2017. Steve sited that there was a corresponding increase in ‘Other Revenue’ and this funding received for a study.
   • Harry commented that the percentage of revenue made up of fees has steadily increased over time.
   • Martina asked if they had considered a reduced application fee instead of waiving the fee in its entirety. Steve responded that because of the complexity of determining eligibility, this was not an option.
   • SFAS reach consensus to not approve of this fee siting they were not comfortable, if approved, that Stanford would be the only peer institution that had a higher application fee. The committee felt this would create a perceived lack of affordability for prospective students even with the application fee waiver possibility taken into consideration.

2. Presentation of SOD Instrument Management Fee – Graduate
• Jacqueline Schroeders, Associate Dean for Financial Affairs; Dr. Michael Roberts, Executive Associate Dean; and Lauren Patton, Graduate Program Director of GPR spoke to the SFAS regarding a justification to their request for a new Instrument Management fee in the School Dentistry.
• The Central Sterilization Unit manages the cleaning and sterilizing of dental instruments used by its students. Instrument Management Fee was approved in the SOD a number of years ago in order to support Central Sterilization Unit.
• Currently this fee is charged to DDS Students and Dental Hygiene Students. Graduate students have been exempt because the clinics by which they conduct their work have traditionally produced more revenue. However, due to outside competition, revenue in those units has been declining.
• This new fee would presently impact 62 graduate students. The level of student input is unclear. SFAS determined that the SOD did not effectively communicate this new fee to their students, and did not obtain the appropriate level of support as required by policy.
• The committee tabled the discussion regarding the approval of this fee pending further information. SFAS requested that the School of Dentistry provide the following information for review at the September 23, 2016 SFAS meeting:
  1. Substantive student input specific to SOD graduate students impacted by the potential fee.
  2. A summary financial report applicable to the instrument management process.
  3. A summary report of actual instruments use by SOD graduate students impacted by the potential fee.

3. **Presentation of Law Student Activity Fee – Moot Court Fee**
• Kelly Podger Smith, Associate Dean for Student Affairs; Jennifer Clark, Assistant Dean for Finance; Ashley Kersnowski (Student) and Anna Finger (Student) spoke to the SFAS regarding a justification to their requested Moot Court Fee.
• This fee will be used to expand the Holderness Moot Court team from 9 to 13. It will help pay for expenses related to the travel of teams that represent UNC Law School in competitions all over the US.
• As well as Moot Court being a very prestigious part of the student experience at the UNC Law School, these additional teams will provide more diversity and inclusion.
• Students voiced an overwhelming level of support for this fee.
• Dylan asked what was the percentage of students are a part of Moot Court. Kelly indicated that it was open to all students. Approximately, 50 to 60 percent try out, and the majority make a team.
• Ben asked did they charge law students based on pay to play. Kelly said that would be cost prohibitive to the students involved, and not everyone would receive benefits from Moot Court.
• SFAS approved this fee.

4. **Presentation of Law Student Activity Fee – Orientation Fee**
• This fee is to replace revenue that was previously provided by the graduate school. This fee was discontinued.
• Orientation is 2.5 to 3 days of events with keynote speakers.
• 200 – 215 Students. Only first year student pay.
• SFAS approved this fee.

5. The next meeting of the Student Fees Advisory Subcommittee is set for Friday, September 23\textsuperscript{th} from 3:00-4:30 p.m. in 120 Vance Hall.